		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv [10/619,481	HANNA, TOM
	" "	Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.		(3)	
(2) Mr. Charles W. Fallow.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2004		Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b)			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: Moeller (US 4,321,891)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 1 to recite a first end of the strip has only a single hole formed therein for receiving the pawl. See examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Evaminar/SDE Signatura)	police at /A	policostic December 1	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	ppiicant/A	pplicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)